## Application No. Applicant(s) Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Michael Burkhart 1833

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael Burkhart. (3) Gwen Spratt.
(2) Joe Wolfach. (4) Lizette Fernandez.

Date of Interview: 5/5/08.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: All elected and pending.

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Identification of prior art discussed: Li and Restifo et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The possible effect of a declaration regarding the unexpected results when using</u> the claimed adenoviral vectors systemically was discussed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REFLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE UNITERVIEW, SEE MEPS SECTION 304, If a reply to the last Office and has already been flet, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALIN GOATE OF THIS UNITERVIEW. SHAMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on wereas side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required